Estimating evapotranspiration in the North American Monsoon region using flux tower and satellite data

Dea Doklestic

Estimating Land Surface Evaporation Using Remotely Sensed Data

- 2 Fundamentally different approaches:
  - 1. LE deduced from surface energy balance
    - (Bastiaanssen et al. 1998 SEBAL)
  - 2. LE modeled
    - (Cleugh et al., 2007, Mu et al., 2007)
- Both of these approaches rely (at least partially) on ground data

#### Remote Sensing - Penman - Monteith Model (Cleugh et al. 2007)



- s = slope of the curve relating saturation water vapor pressure to temperature (desat/dT)
- A = available energy (Rnet G) measured
- $\rho$  = air density
- **C**<sub>p</sub> = specific heat capacity of dry air (1005 J/kg K)
- esat = saturation water vapor pressure
- **e** = water vapor pressure
- $\gamma$  = psychrometric constant
- **Rs** = surface resistance
- **R**<sub>a</sub> = aerodynamic resistance

## RS - PM Model

(Cleugh et al. 2007)

$$\lambda E = \frac{sA + \frac{\rho C_p (e_{sat} - e)}{R_a}}{s + \gamma \left(1 + \frac{R_s}{R_a}\right)}$$

$$e_{sat} = 6.11 \exp\left(\frac{L}{R_v} \left(\frac{1}{273} + \frac{1}{T}\right)\right)$$

$$s = \frac{de_{sat}}{dT} = \frac{Le_{sat}}{R_v T^2}$$
$$\gamma = \frac{M_a}{M_v} \frac{C_p P_{ain}}{L}$$

- Rv constant
- T measured
- Ma constant
- Mv constant
- Cp constant
- P measured
- Rs ?

$$R_{a} = \frac{1}{k^{2}U} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{z-d}{z_{0H}}\right) - \Psi_{H}\left(\frac{z-d}{L}\right) \right] \left[ \ln\left(\frac{z-d}{z_{0}}\right) - \Psi_{M}\left(\frac{z-d}{L}\right) \right]$$

# Surface resistance algorithm

Important: Surface conductance = (surface resistance)<sup>-1</sup>

- <u>Reasoning</u>: Remotely-sensed vegetation indices such as NDVI and the derived measures of canopy cover such as LAI and fractional land cover (Fc), are an adequate surrogate for Rs.
- If there is sufficient soil moisture for vegetation to develop, this will be manifested as a signal in NDVI, fc or LAI on timescales that match plant growth, i.e. weeks to months.
- Low values of Gs are expected at low LAI and when low levels of soil moisture limits evaporation, while Gs will be high for well-watered surfaces with high LAI.
- simple linear relationship proposed:

$$1/R_s = G_s = cL \cdot LAI + G_{s, min}$$

# Surface resistance algorithm - continued

 $1/R_s = G_s = c_L \cdot LAI + G_{s, min}$ 

LAI = Leaf Area Index = is the ratio of total upper leaf surface of vegetation divided by the surface area of the land on which the vegetation grows

Gs, min = surface conductance controlling soil evaporation and the conductance through the leaf cuticle

c<sub>L</sub> = mean surface conductance per unit leaf area index

Parameters that need to be determined empirically

Remotely sensed

# Application of the RS -PM ET algorithm to the NAM region

- Test site: Santa Rita Mesquite, AZ ( 31.82 N, 110.86 W)
- Approach:
  - Use flux tower data for Rnet, G, Tair, Pair
  - Use MOD15A2 LAI product (1 km, 8-day composite) spatially averaged over a 7x7 km subset around the test site
- Tower data averaged daily over daylight hours, then averaged further over 8 days to match the MODIS compositing period
- Parameter values:

1/Ra = 0.05 ms<sup>-1</sup> c<sub>L</sub> = 0.0019



# (Very) Preliminary Results



RMSE = 32.6



Scatter Plot - Data vs. Model

### Revised RS-PM Algorithm (Mu et al., 2007)

- Added the calculation of soil evaporation (not just canopy evaporation)
- Added vapor pressure deficit and minimum air temperature constraints on stomatal conductance
- Used EVI instead of NDVI to compute vegetation fraction

### **Revised RS-PM Algorithm**

#### **Canopy Evaporation**

#### **Soil Evaporation**

$$\lambda E_{can} = \frac{sA_{C} + \frac{\rho C_{p}(e_{sat} - e)}{R_{a}}}{s + \gamma \left(1 + \frac{R_{s}}{R_{a}}\right)}$$

$$Rs = \frac{1}{C_{c}} = \left(C_{s} \cdot LAI\right)^{-1}$$

$$C_{s} = c_{L} \cdot m(T \min) \cdot m(VPD)$$

$$m = \text{multiplier that limits potential stomatal conductance by min T_{air}}$$

and when VPD is high

$$\lambda E_{soil} = \lambda E_{soil\_Pot} \left(\frac{RH}{100}\right)^{\frac{e_{sat}-e}{100}}$$
$$\lambda E_{soil\_Pot} = \frac{sA_{soil} + \frac{\rho C_p (e_{sat} - e)}{R_a}}{s + \gamma \frac{R_{tot}}{R_a}}$$

# **Revised RS-PM Algorithm**

• A – available energy is linearly partitioned between canopy and soil surface using vegetation fraction:  $A_c = F_c \cdot A$ 

$$A_C - F_C \cdot A$$
$$A_{Soil} = (1 - F_C) \cdot A$$

- Vegetation Fraction:  $F_{C} = \frac{EVI - EVI_{\min}}{EVI_{\max} - EVI_{\min}}$
- R<sub>a</sub>, R<sub>s</sub>, R<sub>tot</sub> Aerodynamic resistance, surface resistance, total aerodynamic resistance to vapor transport (= R<sub>a</sub> + R<sub>y</sub>) respectively

# Conclusions

- RS PM algorithm produces ET estimates that match the seasonality of the measured ET, but not the magnitude
- Modeled ET is consistently lower than measured ET
- RMSE = 32.6 W/m<sup>2</sup> comparable to the results in the article
- But, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.369 (low!)

# Future work

- Plenty of room for improvement:
- For RS PM algorithm:
  - Optimize c<sub>L</sub>
  - Use local meteorology-dependent R<sub>a</sub> instead of a fixed value
- Use Revised RS PM

## Thank you!